

ECONOMIC DESIGN OF AN (X) MEAN CONTROL CHART(U) GEORGIA
INST OF TECH ATLANTA SCHOOL OF INDUSTRIAL AND SYSTEMS
ENGINEERING D C MONTGOMERY OCT 82 NO0014-78-C-0312

F/G 9/2

NL

END

417

STATE
FIDELITY

292

100



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
	AD A120 525	
4. TITLE (and Subtitle)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
Economic Design of an \bar{X} Control Chart		Technical Report 04/01/79 - 10/11/82
6. AUTHOR(s)		7. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
Douglas C. Montgomery		
8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		9. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
School of Industrial & Systems Engineering Georgia Institute of Technology Atlanta, GA 30332		N00014-78-C-0312
10. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		11. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Office of Naval Research Code 434 Arlington, VA 22217		NR 047 - 175
12. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		13. REPORT DATE
		October, 1982
		14. NUMBER OF PAGES
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
		Unclassified
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)		
Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. Also published in <u>Journal of Quality Technology</u> , Vol. 14, No. 1, January 1982, pp. 40-43,		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)		
computer program, optimal economic design, control chart, minimize costs per unit time, process performance parameters.		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)		
<p>A computer program for the optimal economic design of an \bar{X} control chart is presented. A single assignable cause system is assumed, where the mean time between process shifts is an exponentially distributed random variable. Given fixed and variable sampling costs, the costs of investigating action signals, the penalty cost of production in the out-of-control state, and other parameters describing process performance, the program finds the sample size, control limit width and interval between samples that minimize the expected total costs per unit time.</p>		

DTIC
ELECTE
OCT 20 1982
H

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE
S/N 0102-10-10-6601

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

82 10 18 007

AD A120525

FILE COPY

COMPUTER PROGRAMS

Edited by Peter R. Nelson

Economic Design of an \bar{X} Control Chart

DOUGLAS C. MONTGOMERY

Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia 30332

A computer program for the optimal economic design of an \bar{X} control chart is presented. A single assignable cause system is assumed, where the mean time between process shifts is an exponentially distributed random variable. Given fixed and variable sampling costs, the costs of investigating action signals, the penalty cost of production in the out-of-control state, and other parameters describing process performance, the program finds the sample size, control limit width and interval between samples that minimize the expected total costs per unit time.

Introduction

CONTROL charts are widely used to maintain statistical control of a process. They are also used for analyzing process capability and estimating process parameters. To use a Shewhart control chart, we must select the sample size n , the width of the control limits k , and the interval between samples h . We assume that h is a multiple of the standard deviation of the statistic plotted on the chart and that h is in hours. Selection of n , k and h is called the *design* of the control chart.

While control charts traditionally are designed with respect to statistical criteria, there has been much research devoted to the design of control charts using economic criteria. For a recent survey of this field, see Montgomery (1980). This note represents a computer program for the optimal economic design of an \bar{X} control chart, based on the cost model of Duncan (1966).

The process is assumed to start in a state of statistical control with mean μ_0 and standard deviation σ . There is a single assignable cause that results in a shift in the process mean from μ_0 to $\mu_0 \pm \delta\sigma$, where δ is known. The time before the assignable

cause occurs has an exponential distribution with parameter λ (thus λ^{-1} is the mean time in the in-control state). Samples of size n are taken every h hours and the sample mean is plotted on an \bar{X} control chart with center line μ_0 and control limits $\mu_0 \pm k\sigma/\sqrt{n}$. If one point falls outside the control limits, a search for the assignable cause is made. The process continues in operation during this search and the repair cost is not charged to the process operating cost.

If one defines

a_1 = the fixed cost of sampling

a_2 = the variable cost of sampling

a_3 = the cost of finding an assignable cause

a_4 = the cost of investigating a false alarm

a_5 = the hourly penalty cost for operating in the out-of-control state

α = the type I error rate

$1 - \beta$ = the power of the chart

g = the time required to sample one item and interpret the results

D = the time required to find the assignable cause following an action signal

then Duncan's (1966) paper shows that under the above assumptions the expected cost per hour in-

Dr. Montgomery is a professor of Industrial and Systems Engineering. He is a Senior Member of ASQC.

KEY WORDS: Economic Models, Process Control, \bar{X} Chart

curved by the process is

$$E(L) = \frac{a_1 + a_2 n}{h} + (a_3 h / (1 - \beta) - \tau + g n + D) + a_4 + a_5 e^{-\lambda h} / (1 - e^{-\lambda h}) + (1/\lambda + h/(1 - \beta) - \tau + g n + D) \quad (1)$$

where

$$\tau = \frac{\int_{j\lambda}^{(j+1)\lambda} e^{-\lambda t} (t - j\lambda) dt}{\int_{j\lambda}^{(j+1)\lambda} e^{-\lambda t} \lambda dt} = \frac{1 - (1 + \lambda h) e^{-\lambda h}}{\lambda(1 - e^{-\lambda h})} \quad (2)$$

is the expected time of occurrence of the assignable cause, given that it occurs between the j th and $(j + 1)$ st samples.

Some simplification of this cost function is possible. Duncan (1966) notes that

$$\tau = h \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\lambda h}{12} \right) \quad (3)$$

$$a_4 e^{-\lambda h} / (1 - e^{-\lambda h}) = a_4 / \lambda h. \quad (4)$$

Substituting (3) and (4) into (1) and simplifying gives

$$E(L) = \frac{a_1 + a_2 n}{h} + \frac{\lambda B a_4 + a_5 / h + \lambda a_6}{1 + \lambda B} \quad (5)$$

where

$$B = [1/(1 - \beta) - 1/2 + \lambda h/12]h + g n + D. \quad (6)$$

Note that $E(L)$ is a function of the control chart parameters n , h and λ . Equation (5) may be minimized with respect to these parameters by direct search methods. Other optimization methods are discussed by Duncan (1966); Geol, Jain and Wu (1968); and Chiu and Wetherill (1974).

Program Description

Various numerical studies have indicated that the optimal control chart design is relatively insensitive to mispecification of the cost parameters but relatively sensitive to the magnitude of the shift λ . Furthermore, the magnitude of the shift primarily affects the optimal sample size n . For this reason, the program displays a number of control chart

designs in the neighborhood of the optimum. The suboptimal designs use a different sample size than the optimal design but have been optimized with respect to n and h . This display is intended to give the analyst some feeling for the sensitivity of the cost surface. Based on this information, the analyst may elect to deviate somewhat from the optimal design, depending on his degree of confidence in the parameter estimates.

Equation (5) is optimized in two stages. Chiu and Wetherill (1974) note that by constraining the power of the chart $(1 - \beta)$ to a specified value (say 0.90 or 0.95) the optimal n and h can be approximated by the solution to

$$\frac{z + h}{\phi(z)} = \frac{\delta^2 a_1}{a_2 + \lambda a_6} \quad (7)$$

and

$$\delta \sqrt{n} - h = z \quad (8)$$

where $z = 1.2816$ if $1 - \beta = 0.90$ and $z = 1.6449$ if $1 - \beta = 0.95$ and $\phi(z)$ is the density function of a standard normal random variable. The program uses $z = 1.2816$ to solve (7) and (8). The resulting n , say n^* , from (8) is used to set lower and upper limits in the search for the optimal sample size.

The second phase of the optimization finds the optimal h and λ for each value of n in the interval $\max(1, n^* - 10) \leq n \leq n^* + 10$. The control limit h is found using a three-stage line search starting with a coarse grid, followed by two successively finer meshes. At each cost function evaluation, the optimal λ is computed using the following equation.

$$\lambda = \left\{ \frac{a_1 + a_2 + a_6}{\lambda a_4 [1/(1 - \beta) - 0.5]} \right\}^{1/2} \quad (9)$$

This approximation for the optimal λ given n and h was suggested by Duncan (1966) and Chiu and Wetherill (1974). An exact closed form solution for the optimal λ derived by Geol, Jain and Wu (1968) could be used instead of equation (9). In practice, however, this refinement seems unnecessary.

The values a and $1 - \beta$ are given by $a = 2\Phi(-h)$ and $1 - \beta = \Phi(\delta \sqrt{n} - h) + \Phi(-\delta \sqrt{n} - h)$ where $\Phi(\cdot)$ is the cumulative standard normal distribution function, which is evaluated in the program using an approximation from Abramowitz and Stegun (1964, equation 26.2.17).

Program Operation

The user must supply the nine parameters a_1 , a_2 , a_3 , a_4 , a_5 , a_6 , λ , g and D . The program calculates the

optimal control limit width h and sampling frequency A for several sample sizes and displays the corresponding value of the cost function, equation (6). The α risk (false alarm probability) and power $1 - \beta$ for each combination n , h and A are also provided. The optimal control chart design (n, h, A) is found by inspecting the output values of the cost function to find the minimum.

The input parameters c_1 , c_2 , c_3 , c_4 , c_5 , A , δ , ρ and D are entered in that order on a single data card using a NPS-9 format.

Examples

Example One

Suppose that the fixed cost of sampling is \$1.00 and the variable cost of sampling is estimated to be \$0.10. It takes approximately one minute (0.0167 hours) to take and analyze each observation. The magnitude of the process shift is two standard deviations and process shifts occur according to an exponential distribution with a frequency of about one every twenty hours of operation. Thus $\lambda = 0.05$. It takes one hour to investigate an action signal following the process shift. The cost of investigating a false alarm is \$50 and a true action signal costs \$5 to investigate. The hourly penalty cost for operating in the out-of-control state is \$100. The input for the program is the following:

1.0 0.1 0.0167 0.05 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001

The output is shown in Output Listing 1. Note that the optimal design has $n = 8$, $h = 2.00$ and $A = 0.75$ hours, with a minimum cost of \$16.35 per hour. The α risk for this control chart design is 0.0030 and the power of the chart is $1 - \beta = 0.9990$. Notice that there are several other designs that employ a sample size slightly different from the optimal value of $n = 8$ that are close to the optimal in terms of minimum cost.

Sample Size (n)	Sampling Frequency (A)	Control Limit Width (h)	Cost	α Risk	Power (1 - β)
8	0.75	2.00	16.35	0.0030	0.9990
7	0.75	2.00	16.35	0.0030	0.9990
9	0.75	2.00	16.35	0.0030	0.9990
10	0.75	2.00	16.35	0.0030	0.9990
11	0.75	2.00	16.35	0.0030	0.9990
12	0.75	2.00	16.35	0.0030	0.9990
13	0.75	2.00	16.35	0.0030	0.9990
14	0.75	2.00	16.35	0.0030	0.9990
15	0.75	2.00	16.35	0.0030	0.9990
16	0.75	2.00	16.35	0.0030	0.9990
17	0.75	2.00	16.35	0.0030	0.9990
18	0.75	2.00	16.35	0.0030	0.9990
19	0.75	2.00	16.35	0.0030	0.9990
20	0.75	2.00	16.35	0.0030	0.9990
21	0.75	2.00	16.35	0.0030	0.9990
22	0.75	2.00	16.35	0.0030	0.9990
23	0.75	2.00	16.35	0.0030	0.9990
24	0.75	2.00	16.35	0.0030	0.9990
25	0.75	2.00	16.35	0.0030	0.9990
26	0.75	2.00	16.35	0.0030	0.9990
27	0.75	2.00	16.35	0.0030	0.9990
28	0.75	2.00	16.35	0.0030	0.9990
29	0.75	2.00	16.35	0.0030	0.9990
30	0.75	2.00	16.35	0.0030	0.9990

OUTPUT LISTING 1. Computer Output for Example 1

Example Two

To illustrate the sensitivity of the control chart to the magnitude of the process shift, we will run

example one with $\delta = 1$. The input for the program is now as follows:

1.0 0.1 0.0167 0.05 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001

The computer output is given in Output Listing 2. Note that two designs now have the same minimum cost of \$12.50 per hour: $n = 12$, $h = 2.00$, $A = 0.94$, and $n = 14$, $h = 2.00$, $A = 0.94$. The effect of decreasing the magnitude of the shift from 2 σ to 1 σ has been to increase greatly the sample size, reduce slightly the width of the control limits and increase the interval between samples.

Sample Size (n)	Sampling Frequency (A)	Control Limit Width (h)	Cost	α Risk	Power (1 - β)
12	0.94	2.00	12.50	0.0030	0.9990
14	0.94	2.00	12.50	0.0030	0.9990
16	0.94	2.00	12.50	0.0030	0.9990
18	0.94	2.00	12.50	0.0030	0.9990
20	0.94	2.00	12.50	0.0030	0.9990
22	0.94	2.00	12.50	0.0030	0.9990
24	0.94	2.00	12.50	0.0030	0.9990
26	0.94	2.00	12.50	0.0030	0.9990
28	0.94	2.00	12.50	0.0030	0.9990
30	0.94	2.00	12.50	0.0030	0.9990
32	0.94	2.00	12.50	0.0030	0.9990
34	0.94	2.00	12.50	0.0030	0.9990
36	0.94	2.00	12.50	0.0030	0.9990
38	0.94	2.00	12.50	0.0030	0.9990
40	0.94	2.00	12.50	0.0030	0.9990
42	0.94	2.00	12.50	0.0030	0.9990
44	0.94	2.00	12.50	0.0030	0.9990
46	0.94	2.00	12.50	0.0030	0.9990
48	0.94	2.00	12.50	0.0030	0.9990
50	0.94	2.00	12.50	0.0030	0.9990
52	0.94	2.00	12.50	0.0030	0.9990
54	0.94	2.00	12.50	0.0030	0.9990
56	0.94	2.00	12.50	0.0030	0.9990
58	0.94	2.00	12.50	0.0030	0.9990
60	0.94	2.00	12.50	0.0030	0.9990

OUTPUT LISTING 2. Computer Output for Example 2

The reader is reminded of two assumptions in the development and use of this economic model which are potentially critical. The first of these is that the process is allowed to continue in operation during searches for the assignable cause and the cost of repair is not changed against operating costs. A model that assumes the process is stopped during searches and which includes the cost of repair is in Montgomery (1980). Use of the wrong process model may result in a control chart design that is far from optimal. The second critical assumption is the use of the exponential distribution as a model for the time between process shifts. If the process failure mechanism does not have the "memoryless" property implied by the exponential distribution, the control chart designs that result from this program may be significantly in error. Further discussion of this assumption and of models not requiring the exponential distribution may be found in Montgomery (1980).

Acknowledgment

The research for this paper was supported by the Office of Naval Research under Contract N00014-78-C-0512, N00017-78.

References

- AMMONSON, R. and STURGEON, I. A. Eds. (1980). *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Dover Publications, New York, New York.

TE
MED